

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,191	05/08/2001	Samuel D. Pritchett	TI-31005	2844
7590 06/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Ronald O. Neerings			PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C	
Texas Instrumer	nts Incorporated			
P.O. Box 655474, M/S 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75265			2634	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Cateriosite of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15(6). In no event, horvever, may a reply be limely filed **Cateriosite of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15(6). In no event, horvever, may a reply be limely filed **Lift be period for reply sepacified above is less than fility (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely, **Lift be period for reply sepacified above is less than fility (30) days, a reply within the statutory provision for reply will, by application to become ARANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). **Failule to reply within the state or stateded primate for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). **Failule to reply within the state or stateded primate for reply will, by statute, cause the spiciation to become ARANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** 1) **Responsive to communication(s) filed on **February 14th 20 205.** 2a) **Caterior adjustment.** See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 3) **Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under **Ex parte Queyle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims** 4) **Claim(s) 1.21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) **Claim(s) 1.21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1.21 is/are pending in the application. 4b) Claim(s) 1.21 is/are rejected. 7) **Claim(s) 1.21 is/are pending in the application. 4plication Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on **May 6th 2.201* is/are: a) accepted or b) Objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Sudhanshu C. Pathak 2334 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 Entry the contempt be resulted and the provision of 37 GPF 1-136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled 1 If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thinly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinly (30) days will be considered timely. 1 If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thinly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinly (30) days will be considered timely. 1 If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thinly (30) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of thinly (30) days will be considered timely. 1 If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thinly (30) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of thinly (30) days will be considered timely. 1 If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thinly (30) days and variety in the statutory minimum of thinly (30) days will be considered timely. 1 If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thinly (30) days and variety and variety of the statutory in the statutory minimum of thinly (30) days will be considered timely. 1 If the period for reply sepacified above is desired than the statutory period will appear and variety and variety reply reply and variety and variety and variety reply reply reply and variety and variety reply reply and variety and variety reply and variety and variety reply and variety and variety and variety reply and variety and variety reply and variety and variety reply reply and variety		09/851,191	PRITCHETT ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provides of 3C PR 1.136(b). In no event, horwer, may a reply be timely fired after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I NO period for exply is specified used the third that the state of the communication of the state of the communication of the system of the state of the communication. Fallows to reply valled that the state or extended period for reply will, by datatic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (\$9.0 x 5.0 ± 133). Any reply received by the Office tell than three mening date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any among placint term adjustment. Size 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on February 14th, 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the menits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected to. 8) □ The drawing(s) filed on May 8th, 2001 is/are; a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheat(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on May 8th, 2001 is/are; a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederactions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fried If the proteid reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expert SIX (5) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expert SIX (5) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expect SIX (5) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expect SIX (5) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication over it from the final protein of protein for a specific protein or protein for maximum statutory period will apply and will expect SIX (5) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, over it from the final protein or protein or protein for the maximum statutory period will apply and will expect SIX (5) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. The final		Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MANTES from the mailing date of files communication. If the provision of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MANTES from the mailing date of this communication. If the provision of the provisi	The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Eebruary 14th</i> . 2005. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on May 8th. 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard properties.	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (; riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th , 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th , 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th , 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on F	ebruary 14 th , 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
A) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 8^m 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allo) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th . 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th , 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _May 8 th _ 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	·				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th . 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on May 8th, 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th , 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th , 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	•				
10) □ The drawing(s) filed on May 8 th , 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <i>May 8th, 2001</i> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s)) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•						
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in App	olication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		, , , , , ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Attachment(s)		·				
		4) 🔲 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)				
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-to-21 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 12-18 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Troster et al. (An Interpolative Bandpass Converter on a 1.2-um BiCMOS Analog/Digital Array; IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits; Vol. 28, No. 4; April 1993; Pages 471-477).

Regarding to Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 12-18 & 20, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) discloses an RF receiver apparatus embodied as an integrated circuit (Fig. 1 & Specification, Page 1, Background of Invention, lines 1-3) comprising a mixing circuitry for mixing an analog RF signal down to an analog IF signal (Specification, Page 3, lines 10-12 & Fig. 1, element 17); an analog IF-to-digital baseband converter, coupled to said mixer for converting said analog IF signal into a digital baseband signal, further comprising an analog-to-digital converter (ADC), a digital IF-to-baseband converter and a matched filter (Fig. 1, elements 12, 14, 15); and an output coupled to said analog IF-to-digital baseband converter for transmitting said digital baseband signal (Fig. 1, element 18). The AAPA further discloses a

Art Unit: 2634

baseband processing apparatus (digital signal processor) formed on a second integrated circuit for performing desired digital communications processing coupled to the output of the converter (Fig. 1, elements 13, 16 & Specification, Page 1, Background of Invention, lines 3-6 & Specification, Page 2, lines 1-2). The AAPA also discloses a matched filter (Fig. 1, element 15 & Specification, Page 2, lines 5-13). The AAPA further discloses the matched filter to include a decimator (Specification, Page 2, lines 14-21 & Fig. 2, element 15 & Specification, Page 3, lines 1-18). The AAPA also discloses an example of the digital IF-to-digital baseband converter to include a CORDIC (Coordinate Rotation Digital Computer) circuit (Fig. 2, element 14 & Specification, Page 2, lines 8-13 & Specification, Page 3, lines 1-18). However, the AAPA does not disclose the mixing circuitry and the analog IF-to-digital baseband converter circuitry to be implemented on the same integrated circuit.

Troster discloses a bandpass analog-to-digital (ADC) converter, implemented on a 1.2um BiCMOS Analog/Digital array, for a cellular radio mobile (systems) receiver (Abstract, Page 471, lines 1-8). Troster also discloses implementing the ADC wherein the input is an analog IF frequency signal and is converted to digital baseband signal (Page 472, Fig. 1 & Page 471, Section II, Converter Architecture, Right-hand column –to-Page 473, Section II, Converter Architecture, Left-hand column). Troster also discloses implementing the converter architecture using BiCMOS technology used for the fabrication of the mixed array, which has been optimized for high performance analog/digital (mixed signal) applications and further

Art Unit: 2634

optimized for prototyping of interfaces between high frequency analog signals and complex digital baseband signals (Page 473, Section III, BiCMOS Implementation, Right-hand column). Troster also discloses the a BiCMOS technology for a monolithic implementation on a single integrated circuit of mixed signal circuit components for processing high frequency analog signals and digital baseband signals (Page 475, Fig. 6 & Page 473, Section III, BiCMOS Implementation, Righthand column & Page 475, Left-hand Column, Section "Floorplan" & Page 476, Lefthand column, Section V, Conclusion). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Troster teaches implementing a BiCMOS technology for the implementation of a mixed signal (analog/digital) circuit components and further implementing a bandpass analog IFto-digital baseband converter and this can be implemented in the receiver as described in the AAPA so as to provide a monolithic integrated signal path from a high frequency signal to a baseband signal so as to provide high level integration desired for cellular mobile transceivers.

4. Claim 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Troster et al. (An Interpolative Bandpass Converter on a 1.2-um BiCMOS Analog/Digital Array; IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits; Vol. 28, No. 4; April 1993; Pages 471-477) in further view of Patel et al. (6,480,528).

Regarding to Claim 6, the AAPA in view of Troster discloses an RF receiver apparatus embodied as an integrated circuit comprising a mixing circuit and an Application/Control Number: 09/851,191

Art Unit: 2634

analog-to-digital baseband converter wherein the converter further comprises a filter as described above. However, the AAPA in view of Troster does not disclose the filter further including a quantizer.

Patel discloses a method and receiver for processing a desired signal wherein the desired signal is sampled, processed by a matched filter and then quantized (Abstract, lines 6-9, Column 2, lines 63-65 & Column 3, lines 39-41, 59-67 & Fig. 4-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Patel teaches implementing a quantizer for quantizing the matched filter output and this can be implemented in the RF receiver apparatus as described in the AAPA in view of Troster so as to provide a predetermined number of bits of resolution of the data, thus satisfying the limitation of the claim.

5. Claims 8, 10-11, 19 & 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Troster et al. (An Interpolative Bandpass Converter on a 1.2-um BiCMOS Analog/Digital Array; IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits; Vol. 28, No. 4; April 1993; Pages 471-477) in further view of Fukuda et al. (4,665,532).

Regarding to Claims 8, 10-11, 19 & 21, AAPA in view of Troster discloses a RF receiver apparatus comprising a mixing circuitry, an analog IF-to-digital baseband signal converter and a baseband processing apparatus physically separate from the RF receiver apparatus as described above. However, AAPA in view of Troster does not disclose the analog IF-to-digital baseband converter to include a parallel-

Art Unit: 2634

to-serial converter connected between said analog IF-to-digital baseband converter and said output, said parallel-to-serial converter providing serial formatted.

Fukuda discloses a parallel-to-serial converter for converting data in a parallel format (I & Q) into a serial format after demodulation of the received signal so as to recover the transmitted data in the desired (serial) format (Fig. 2, element 210 & Column 3, lines 29-42 & Column 4, lines 20-35). Fukuda further discloses a clock implemented in a parallel-to-serial converter and a serial-to-parallel converter (Fig. 5, element "CLK"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Fukuda teaches a parallel-to-serial converter, and this can be implemented in the receiver as described in AAPA in view of Troster so as to provide a serial data stream received as transmitted by the transmitter, thus satisfying the limitation of the claim. Furthermore, the conversion of the received serial data, in the baseband apparatus, and converting the data to parallel format is a matter of design choice depending on the application and format of the data transmitted from the transmitter.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-to-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/851,191

Art Unit: 2634

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, it is recommended to the applicant to amend all the claims so as to be patentable over the cited prior art of record. A detailed list of pertinent references is included with this Office Action (See Attached "Notice of References Cited" (PTO-892)).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm.
 - If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the
 examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)-272-3056
 - The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,191

Art Unit: 2634

Page 8

• Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sudhanshu C. Pathak

STEPHEN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000